arXiv:1606.03979v2 [hep-th] 30 Jun 2016

On three-dimensional trace anomaly from holographic local RG

Ken KIKUCHI, Hiroto HOSODA, Akihiro SUZUKI

Department of Physics, Nagoya University

July 1, 2016

Abstract

Odd-dimensional quantum field theories (QFTs) can have nonzero trace anomalies if external fields are introduced and some ingredients needed to make Lorentz scalars with appropriate mass dimensions (or weights) are supplied. We have studied a three-dimensional QFT and explicitly computed the trace of the stress tensor using the holographic local renormalization group (RG). We have checked some properties of vector beta functions and the Wess-Zumino consistency condition, however, found the anomalies vanish on fixed points. We clarify what is responsible for the vanishing trace anomalies.

Introduction Without a doubt, symmetry plays a central role in physics. For example, spacetime symmetries impose various conservation laws, which govern classical physics almost completely. The Poincaré symmetry is one of such a symmetry and is one of the fundamental assumptions of quantum field theories (QFTs). However, in quantum theories, it happens that some symmetries are violated due to quantum corrections. They are called anomalies. Thus anomalies play important roles in quantum theories. For instance, the chiral anomaly gave human beings an insight how many colors Nature has. In this work, we would like to study an anomaly called the trace anomaly. Its general classification was given in [1]. The anomaly has also been playing a significant role in QFTs. In fact, it is known that in two and four spacetime dimensions, coefficients of some terms in the trace anomaly can be interpreted as the 'number of degrees of freedom' in theories[2, 3, 4]. See [5] for the case of three dimensions.

Being important, the trace anomaly has been calculated in many ways. In local RG, we lift a scale parameter into a spacetime dependent function and identify the Weyl transformations of the metric as local scale transformations. In this line, to make the theory consistent, coupling 'constants' are forced to have spacetime dependence and are promoted to coupling

'functions'. This is why the method is called the 'local' renormalization group (LRG). The Weyl variation equation describes the RG flow and we can obtain the trace anomalies from this equation. When we use holography, we can get this LRG equation by the formalism made first in [6], generalized in [7] to the general even spacetime dimensions, and in [8] to gauge theories. The explicit relation between LRG and holographic Hamilton-Jacobi method was first elucidated in [9]. In this formalism, considering $(d+1)$-dimensional bulk gravity theory and by regarding one direction as a 'time', we can derive equations of motion by the Hamilton-Jacobi formalism. In the AdS/CFT correspondence, this equation, so called flow equation, can be considered as the LRG equation of the boundary field theory. Strictly speaking, we should say that this method is using holography. In this way, we can compute the trace anomalies in d-dimensional QFTs.

It is believed that the trace anomalies trivially vanish in odd spacetime dimensions, and the use of the method was limited to even spacetime dimensions. However, as in even spacetime dimensions, by introducing external fields, and furthermore by breaking parity so as to supply an ingredient (that is, the Levi-Civita tensor) to make Lorentz scalars from odd numbers of Lorentz indices, there is no reason for the trace anomaly to vanish. Nakayama pointed out [10] the possibility and wrote down consistency conditions the three-dimensional trace anomaly should obey. In this work, limiting our analysis to QFTs with bulk duals, we explicitly computed the trace anomaly and, in contrast to our optimistic expectation, ended up to find the anomaly vanishes on fixed points. An explicit computation was also done in [11][1].

The organization of the paper is as follows: Firstly, we put forward our calculations following the well-known formalism, the Hamilton-Jacobi formalism. In this formalism the so-called flow equation has great importance. We get the trace of the stress tensor through this equation, and at the same time the scalar and vector beta functions. Next, we check some properties of the beta functions and the Wess-Zumino (WZ) consistency conditions of the anomaly coefficients following [10]. Finally, we conclude our analysis and clarify the reason why the trace anomalies vanish in our situation.

Formalism We start with a simple extention of the bulk action in [8] by adding the θ-term to break the parity:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\boldsymbol{S}\left[\hat{\gamma}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}(x,\tau),\hat{\phi}^I(x,\tau),\hat{A}^a_{\hat{\mu}}(x,\tau)\right] \\
&=\int_{M_4} d^4X\sqrt{\hat{\gamma}}\left\{V(\hat{\phi})-\hat{R}_{(4)}+\frac{1}{2}L^{IJ}(\hat{\phi})\hat{\gamma}^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_{\hat{\mu}}\,\hat{\phi}^I\hat{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_{\hat{\nu}}\,\hat{\phi}^J+\frac{1}{4}B(\hat{\phi})\hat{F}^a_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}\hat{F}^{a\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}+\frac{1}{4}\Theta\epsilon^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}\hat{\sigma}}_{(4)}\hat{F}^a_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}\hat{F}^a_{\hat{\rho}\hat{\sigma}}\right\} \\
&\quad -2\int_{\Sigma_3} d^3x\sqrt{\hat{h}}\,\hat{K}\ , \qquad (1)
\end{aligned}
$$

[1]We would like to appreciate Adam Schwimmer bringing the paper to our attention and elucidating a mechanism for producing trace anomalies.

where fields with hat denote off-shell fields, which are not necessarily solutions of equations of motion. Our notations are collected in Appendix A. Since we want to identify the scalar fields with coupling functions in local renormalization group (LRG), we restrict gauge symmetries G to groups with real representations such as $SO(N)$. The scalar fields belong to some real representation r, therefore we do not distinguish upper and lower indices. The second term on the RHS is the Gibbons-Hawking term, which is needed to treat the action in the Hamiltonian formalism and is defined on a three-dimensional hypersurface $\Sigma_3 := \{X \in M_4 | \tau = \text{const.}\}$. Here we also defined an induced metric $\hat{h}_{\mu\nu}$ and determinants $\hat{\gamma} := -\det(\hat{\gamma}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}})$ and $\hat{h} := -\det(\hat{h}_{\mu\nu})$. Following the traditional method, i.e., by using the ADM decomposition

$$ds^2 = \hat{\gamma}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} dX^{\hat{\mu}} dX^{\hat{\nu}} = \hat{N}^2(x,\tau)d\tau^2 + \hat{h}_{\mu\nu}(x,\tau)[dx^\mu + \hat{\lambda}^\mu(x,\tau)d\tau][dx^\nu + \hat{\lambda}^\nu(x,\tau)d\tau] \ , \tag{2}$$

and defining canonical momenta

$$\hat{\pi}^{\mu\nu} := \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_4}{\partial(\partial_\tau \hat{h}_{\mu\nu})} = \hat{K}^{\mu\nu} - \hat{h}^{\mu\nu}\hat{K} \ , \tag{3}$$

$$\hat{\pi}^I := \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_4}{\partial(\partial_\tau \hat{\phi}^I)} = \frac{1}{\hat{N}} L^{IJ}(\hat{\phi}) \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_\tau \hat{\phi}^J - \hat{\lambda}^\mu \hat{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_\mu \hat{\phi}^J \right) \ , \tag{4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\pi}^{a\mu} := \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_4}{\partial(\partial_\tau \hat{A}^a_\mu)} &= \frac{1}{\hat{N}^3} B(\hat{\phi}) \left[\hat{N}^2 \hat{h}^{\mu\nu} \hat{F}^a_{\tau\nu} - \hat{\lambda}^\nu \left(\hat{N}^2 \hat{h}^{\rho\mu} + \hat{\lambda}^\rho \hat{\lambda}^\mu \right) \hat{F}^a_{\nu\rho} \right] - \hat{N} \Theta \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\tau}_{(4)} \hat{F}^a_{\nu\rho} \\ &= \frac{1}{\hat{N}} B(\hat{\phi}) \left[\hat{h}^{\mu\nu} \hat{F}^a_{\tau\nu} - \hat{\lambda}^\nu \hat{h}^{\rho\mu} \hat{F}^a_{\nu\rho} \right] - \Theta \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}_{(3)} \hat{F}^a_{\nu\rho} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $\boldsymbol{S} = \int d^3x d\tau \sqrt{\hat{h}} \mathcal{L}_4 + (GH)$, one arrives at the first-order action:

$$\begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{S}\left[\hat{h}_{\mu\nu}, \hat{\phi}^I, \hat{A}^a_\mu, \hat{A}^a_\tau, \hat{N}, \hat{\lambda}^\mu; (x,\tau)\right] \\ &= \int d^3x d\tau \sqrt{\hat{h}} \Bigg\{ \hat{\pi}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\tau \hat{h}_{\mu\nu} + \hat{\pi}^I \partial_\tau \hat{\phi}^I + \hat{\pi}^{a\mu} \partial_\tau \hat{A}^a_\mu \\ &+ \hat{N} \left[\frac{1}{2}\hat{\pi}^2 - \hat{\pi}^2_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} L^{IJ}(\hat{\phi}) \hat{\pi}^I \hat{\pi}^J - \frac{1}{2B(\hat{\phi})} \hat{h}^{\mu\nu} \hat{\pi}^a_\mu \hat{\pi}^a_\nu - \frac{\Theta}{B(\hat{\phi})} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}_{(3)} \hat{\pi}^a_\mu \hat{F}^a_{\nu\rho} \right. \\ &\qquad \left. + V(\hat{\phi}) - \hat{R}_{(3)} + \frac{1}{2} L^{IJ}(\hat{\phi}) \hat{h}^{\mu\nu} \hat{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_\mu \hat{\phi}^I \hat{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_\nu \hat{\phi}^J + \left(\frac{1}{4} B(\hat{\phi}) + \frac{\Theta^2}{B(\hat{\phi})} \right) \hat{F}^a_{\mu\nu} \hat{F}^{a\mu\nu} \right] \\ &+ \hat{\lambda}^\mu \left[2\hat{\nabla}^\nu \hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu} - \hat{\pi}^I \hat{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_\mu \hat{\phi}^I - \hat{F}^a_{\mu\nu} \hat{\pi}^{a\nu} \right] \\ &+ \hat{A}^a_\tau \left[\hat{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_\mu \hat{\pi}^{a\mu} - (iT^a \hat{\phi})^I \hat{\pi}^I \right] \\ &+ (\text{GH term}) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

As one notices at once, the action do not contain τ derivatives of $\hat{N}, \hat{\lambda}^\mu$ and $\hat{A}^a_\tau$, thus these fields are auxiliary fields, and their equations of motion yield the first-class constraints

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H} :=& \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{h}}}\frac{\delta \boldsymbol{S}}{\delta \hat{N}} \\ =& \frac{1}{2}\hat{\pi}^2 - \hat{\pi}^2_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}L^{IJ}(\hat{\phi})\hat{\pi}^I\hat{\pi}^J - \frac{1}{2B(\hat{\phi})}\hat{h}^{\mu\nu}\hat{\pi}^a_\mu\hat{\pi}^a_\nu - \frac{\Theta}{B(\hat{\phi})}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}_{(3)}\hat{\pi}^a_\mu\hat{F}^a_{\nu\rho} \\ &+ V(\hat{\phi}) - \hat{R}_{(3)} + \frac{1}{2}L^{IJ}(\hat{\phi})\hat{h}^{\mu\nu}\hat{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_\mu\hat{\phi}^I\hat{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_\nu\hat{\phi}^J + \left(\frac{1}{4}B(\hat{\phi}) + \frac{\Theta^2}{B(\hat{\phi})}\right)\hat{F}^a_{\mu\nu}\hat{F}^{a\mu\nu} \approx 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

$$\hat{P}_\mu := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{h}}}\frac{\delta \boldsymbol{S}}{\delta \hat{\lambda}^\mu} = 2\hat{\nabla}^\nu\hat{\pi}_{\mu\nu} - \hat{\pi}^I\hat{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_\mu\hat{\phi}^I - \hat{F}^a_{\mu\nu}\hat{\pi}^{a\nu} \approx 0 \ , \tag{8}$$

$$\hat{G}^a := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{h}}}\frac{\delta \boldsymbol{S}}{\delta \hat{A}^a_\tau} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_\mu\hat{\pi}^{a\mu} - (iT^a\hat{\phi})^I\hat{\pi}^I \approx 0 \ . \tag{9}$$

(7) and (8) are Hamiltonian and momentum constraints, respectively, which ensure 'time' translation invariance and three-dimensional diffeomorphism invariance, respectively. (9) is nothing but the Gauss's law and it guarantees the gauge invariance of the system.

Solving the equations of motion with Dirichlet boundary conditions at $\tau = \tau_0$

$$\bar{h}_{\mu\nu}(x, \tau = \tau_0) = h(x) \ , \quad \bar{\phi}^I(x, \tau = \tau_0) = \phi^I(x) \ , \quad \bar{A}^a_\mu(x, \tau = \tau_0) = A^a_\mu(x, \tau = \tau_0) \ ,$$

where fields with bar indicates on-shell fields, one attains an on-shell action:

$$\begin{aligned} S[h_{\mu\nu}(x), \phi^I(x), A^a_\mu(x); \tau_0] :=& \boldsymbol{S}[\hat{h} = \bar{h}, \hat{\phi} = \bar{\phi}, \hat{A} = \bar{A}; (x, \tau_0)] \\ =& \int d^3x \int_{\tau_0}^{\infty} d\tau \sqrt{\bar{h}}\left\{\bar{\pi}^{\mu\nu}\partial_\tau\bar{h}_{\mu\nu} + \bar{\pi}^I\partial_\tau\bar{\phi}^I + \bar{\pi}^{a\mu}\partial_\tau\bar{A}^a_\mu\right\} . \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Its variation

$$\delta S[h(x), \phi(x), A(x); \tau_0] = -\int d^3x\sqrt{h}\Big\{\bar{\pi}^{\mu\nu}(x, \tau_0)\delta h_{\mu\nu}(x) + \bar{\pi}^I(x, \tau_0)\delta\phi^I(x) + \bar{\pi}^{a\mu}(x, \tau_0)\delta A^a_\mu(x)\Big\} \tag{11}$$

yields Hamilton-Jacobi (HJ) equations:

$$\bar{\pi}^{\mu\nu}(x, \tau_0) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{h}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta h_{\mu\nu}(x)} \ , \quad \bar{\pi}^I(x, \tau_0) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{h}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi^I(x)} \ , \quad \bar{\pi}^{a\mu}(x, \tau_0) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{h}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta A^a_\mu(x)} \ , \quad \frac{\partial S}{\partial \tau_0} = 0 \ . \tag{12}$$

Substituting the HJ equations into the Himiltonian constraint (7), we arrive at the flow equation

$$\{S, S\}(x) = \mathcal{L}_3(x) \tag{13}$$

where

$$\{S,S\} := \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{h}}\right)^2 \left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(h_{\mu\nu}\frac{\delta S}{\delta h_{\mu\nu}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\delta S}{\delta h_{\mu\nu}}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}L^{IJ}(\phi)\frac{\delta S}{\delta\phi^I}\frac{\delta S}{\delta\phi^J} + \frac{1}{2B(\phi)}h_{\mu\nu}\frac{\delta S}{\delta A^a_\mu}\frac{\delta S}{\delta A^a_\nu} - \frac{\Theta}{B(\phi)}\sqrt{h}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}_{(3)}\frac{\delta S}{\delta A^{a\mu}}F^a_{\nu\rho}\right] \tag{14}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_3 := V(\phi) - R_{(3)} + \frac{1}{2}L^{IJ}(\phi)\boldsymbol{\nabla}^\mu\phi^I\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\mu\phi^J + \left(\frac{1}{4}B(\phi) + \frac{\Theta^2}{B(\phi)}\right)F^a_{\mu\nu}F^{a\mu\nu} \ . \tag{15}$$

The other constraints[2], i.e., the momentum constraint and the Gauss's law can be used to show that three-dimensional diffeomorphism and gauge invariance are realized. In fact, the Gauss's law constraint (9) and the Hamilton-Jacobi equations give

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int d^dx\sqrt{h}\,\alpha^a\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\mu\pi^{a\mu} - (iT^a\phi)^I\pi^I\right) \\ &= \int d^dx\left\{\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\mu\alpha^a\frac{\delta S}{\delta A^a_\mu} + \alpha^a(iT^a\phi)^I\frac{\delta S}{\delta\phi^I}\right\} \qquad (16) \\ &= \int d^dx\left(\delta^{\rm gauge}_\alpha A^a_\mu\frac{\delta S}{\delta A^a_\mu} + \delta^{\rm gauge}_\alpha\phi^I\frac{\delta S}{\delta\phi^I}\right) = \delta^{\rm gauge}_\alpha S \ . \qquad (17) \end{aligned}$$

Here,

$$\delta^{\rm gauge}_\alpha A^a_\mu := \boldsymbol{\nabla}_\mu\alpha^a \equiv \nabla_\mu\alpha^a + f^a{}_{bc}A^b_\mu\alpha^c \ , \quad \delta^{\rm gauge}_\alpha\phi^I := \alpha^a(iT^a\phi)^I \ , \tag{18}$$

denote an infinitesimal gauge transformation. Further, the momentum constraint (8) and the Hamilton-Jacobi equations lead to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int d^dx\sqrt{h}\,\epsilon^\mu\left(2\nabla^\nu\pi_{\mu\nu} - \pi^I\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\mu\phi^I - F^a_{\mu\nu}\pi^{a\nu}\right) \\ &= \int d^dx\left\{(\nabla_\mu\epsilon_\nu + \nabla_\nu\epsilon_\mu)\frac{\delta S}{\delta h_{\mu\nu}} + \epsilon^\mu\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\mu\phi^I\frac{\delta S}{\delta\phi^I} + \epsilon^\mu F^a_{\mu\nu}\frac{\delta S}{\delta A^a_\nu}\right\} \\ &= \delta_\epsilon S - \int d^dx\sqrt{h}\,\epsilon^\mu A^a_\mu\left\{\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\nu\pi^{a\nu} - (iT^a\phi)^I\pi^I\right\} \ . \qquad (19) \end{aligned}$$

Here,

$$\delta_\epsilon\phi^I := \mathcal{L}_\epsilon\phi^I \equiv \epsilon^\mu\partial_\mu\phi^I \ , \quad \delta_\epsilon A^a_\mu := \mathcal{L}_\epsilon A^a_\mu \equiv \epsilon^\nu\partial_\nu A^a_\mu + \partial_\mu\epsilon^\nu A^a_\nu \ , \quad \delta_\epsilon h_{\mu\nu} := \mathcal{L}_\epsilon h_{\mu\nu} \equiv \nabla_\mu\epsilon_\nu + \nabla_\nu\epsilon_\mu \ , \tag{20}$$

[2]Some consequences of these constraints are collected in Appendix C.

are Lie derivatives with respect to three-dimensional diffeomorphism. Noting that the second term in (19) vanishes because of (9) implies invariance of the on-shell action under three-dimensional diffeomorphism.

Separate the action into local and non-local parts:

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa_4^2}S[h,\phi,A] \equiv \frac{1}{2\kappa_4^2}S_{\rm loc}[h,\phi,A] - \Gamma[h,\phi,A] \ . \tag{21}$$

Furthermore, so as to study the flow equation systematically, we employ the derivative expansion by assigning an additive number called weight as in a table below:

elements	weight w
$h_{\mu\nu}(x), \phi^I(x), \Gamma[h,\phi,A]$	0
$\partial_\mu, A^a_\mu(x)$	1
$R, R_{\mu\nu}, R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \partial^2, \frac{\delta}{\delta A^a_\mu(x)}, \dots$	2
$\frac{\delta}{\delta h_{\mu\nu}(x)}, \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi^I(x)}$	3

Table 1: assignment of weights

$$S_{\rm loc}[h,\phi,A] = \int d^3x\sqrt{h}\mathcal{L}_{\rm loc} = \int d^3x\sqrt{h}\sum_{w=0,2,3,\dots}[\mathcal{L}_{\rm loc}]_w$$

We parametrize the local part as below:

$$[\mathcal{L}_{\rm loc}]_0 = W(\phi) \ , \tag{22}$$

$$[\mathcal{L}_{\rm loc}]_2 = -\Phi(\phi)R_{(3)} + \frac{1}{2}M^{IJ}(\phi)\boldsymbol{\nabla}^\mu\phi^I\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\mu\phi^J \ , \tag{23}$$

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathcal{L}_{\rm loc}]_3 = {}& \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}_{(3)}D^{IJK}(\phi)\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\mu\phi^I\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\nu\phi^J\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\rho\phi^K + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}_{(3)}E^I(\phi)(F_{\mu\nu})^{IJ}\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\rho\phi^J \\ & + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}_{(3)}\frac{k_{\rm CS}}{4\pi}{\rm tr}\left(A_\mu\partial_\nu A_\rho + \frac{2}{3}A_\mu A_\nu A_\rho\right) \ .\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

In order to respect flavour symmetry, E^I, for example, should belong to some representation r to which also ϕ^I belongs. Thus it must have a form

$$E^I\Big(\phi(x)\Big) \equiv \phi^I(x)E\Big(\phi(x)\Big) \tag{25}$$

with $E(\phi)$ a flavour singlet. Similarly, if the gauge group G has an antisymmetric three-tensor, a form

$$D^{IJK}\Big(\phi(x)\Big) \equiv \epsilon^{IJK}D\Big(\phi(x)\Big) \tag{26}$$

with $D(\phi)$ in flavour singlet makes the first term of (24) G invariant, and the term is allowed just in the case.

We also define

$$S_{\mathrm{loc};w-3} := \int d^3x\sqrt{h}[\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{loc}}]_w \ . \tag{27}$$

Using the parametrization, the flow equation (13) is decomposed as follows:

$w=0$:

$$V(\phi) = -\frac{3}{8}W^2(\phi) + \frac{1}{2}L^{IJ}(\phi)\partial^I W(\phi)\partial^J W(\phi) \ , \tag{28}$$

$w=2$:

$$-1 = \frac{1}{4}W(\phi) - L^{IJ}(\phi)\partial^I W(\phi)\partial^J\Phi(\phi) \ , \tag{29}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}L^{IJ}(\phi) = &-\frac{1}{8}W(\phi)M^{IJ}(\phi) - L^{KL}(\phi)\partial^K W(\phi)\Gamma^{L;IJ}(\phi) \\ &- W(\phi)\partial^I\partial^J\Phi(\phi) - \frac{1}{2B(\phi)}M^{IK}(\phi)M^{JL}(\phi)(T^a\phi)^K(T^a\phi)^L \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

$$0 = W(\phi)\partial^K\Phi(\phi) + L^{IJ}(\phi)\partial^I W(\phi)M^{JK}(\phi) \ , \tag{31}$$

$w=3$:

$$\begin{aligned}0 = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{h}}\right)^2 \Bigg\{ &2\kappa_4^2\left(h_{\rho\sigma}\frac{\delta S_{\mathrm{loc};0-3}}{\delta h_{\rho\sigma}}\right)h_{\mu\nu}\frac{\delta}{\delta h_{\mu\nu}}\left(\Gamma - \frac{1}{2\kappa_4^2}S_{\mathrm{loc};3-3}\right) - 4\kappa_4^2\frac{\delta S_{\mathrm{loc};0-3}}{\delta h^{\mu\nu}}\frac{\delta}{\delta h_{\mu\nu}}\left(\Gamma - \frac{1}{2\kappa_4^2}S_{\mathrm{loc};3-3}\right) \\ &- 2\kappa_4^2 L^{IJ}(\phi)\frac{\delta S_{\mathrm{loc};0-3}}{\delta\phi^I}\frac{\delta}{\delta\phi^J}\left(\Gamma - \frac{1}{2\kappa_4^2}S_{\mathrm{loc};3-3}\right) - \frac{2\kappa_4^2}{B(\phi)}h_{\mu\nu}\frac{\delta S_{\mathrm{loc};2-3}}{\delta A^a_\mu}\frac{\delta}{\delta A^a_\nu}\left(\Gamma - \frac{1}{2\kappa_4^2}S_{\mathrm{loc};3-3}\right) \\ &- \frac{\Theta}{B(\phi)}\sqrt{h}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}_{(3)}\frac{\delta S_{\mathrm{loc};2-3}}{\delta A^{a\mu}}F^a_{\nu\rho}\Bigg\} \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

$w=4$:

$$\{S,S\}_{w=4} = \left(\frac{1}{4}B(\phi) + \frac{\Theta^2}{B(\phi)}\right)F^a_{\mu\nu}F^{a\mu\nu} \ . \tag{33}$$

By defining vevs in the presence of external fields (h,ϕ,A) as

$$\langle T^{\mu\nu}(x)\rangle := \frac{2}{\sqrt{h}}\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta h_{\mu\nu}(x)} \ , \quad \langle O^I(x)\rangle := \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}}\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\phi^I(x)} \ , \quad \langle J^{a\mu}(x)\rangle := \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}}\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta A^a_\mu(x)} \ , \tag{34}$$

(32) can be solved for the trace of the stress tensor:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle T^\mu{}_\mu\rangle = &\frac{2}{2\kappa_4^2}h_{\mu\nu}\frac{1}{\sqrt{h}}\frac{\delta S_{\mathrm{loc};3-3}}{\delta h_{\mu\nu}} + \frac{4}{W}L^{IJ}\frac{1}{\sqrt{h}}\frac{\delta S_{\mathrm{loc};0-3}}{\delta\phi^I}\frac{1}{\sqrt{h}}\langle O'^J\rangle + \frac{4}{BW}h_{\mu\nu}\frac{1}{\sqrt{h}}\frac{\delta S_{\mathrm{loc};2-3}}{\delta A^a_\mu}\langle J'^{a\nu}\rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\kappa_4^2}\frac{4\Theta}{BW}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}_{(3)}\frac{1}{\sqrt{h}}\frac{\delta S_{\mathrm{loc};2-3}}{\delta A^{a\mu}}F^a_{\nu\rho} \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

where $\langle \mathcal{O}' \rangle$ is defined as a vev of an operator $\mathcal{O}$ with counterterms taken into account, e.g., $\langle O'^I \rangle := \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi^I} \left(\Gamma - \frac{1}{2\kappa_4^2} S_{\mathrm{loc};3-3} \right)$. This expression allows us to identify the coefficients of the vevs as beta functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^I(\phi) :=& -\frac{4}{W(\phi)} L^{IJ}(\phi) \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \frac{\delta S_{\mathrm{loc};0-3}}{\delta \phi^J} \\ =& -\frac{4}{W} L^{IJ} \partial^J W \ , \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^a_\mu(\phi, A) \equiv \rho^a_I(\phi) \boldsymbol{\nabla}_\mu \phi^I :=& -\frac{4}{B(\phi) W(\phi)} h_{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \frac{\delta S_{\mathrm{loc};2-3}}{\delta A^a_\nu} \\ =& \frac{4}{BW} M^{IJ} (iT^a \phi)^J \boldsymbol{\nabla}_\mu \phi^I \ . \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Furthermore, the first term on RHS of (35) is the only origin of the term so called 'Virial current'. However, since the three-dimensional theory is topological $\delta S_{\mathrm{loc};3-3}/\delta h_{\mu\nu} = 0$, the term trivially vanishes, i.e., there is no Virial current in our theory.

Analysis According to [12], the vector β functions must satisfy some properties such as (i) gradient property, (ii) compensated gauge invariance, (iii) orthogonality, (iv) Higgs-like relation, and (v) non-renormalization condition. Although these properties are confirmed to be satisfied in even-dimensions [8], one can see that they are also satisfied in three spacetime dimensions: (i) the gradient property $\beta^a \propto \delta S_{\mathrm{loc}}/\delta A^a$ is manifested in the expression (37), (ii) the compensated gauge invariance follows trivially since the Virial current v vanishes, (iii) the orthogonality can be seen via an explicit computation thanks to the gauge invariance of $\Phi(\phi)$ (C.2) (and (31)):

$$\rho^{aI} \beta^I = \frac{16}{BW} (iT^a \phi)^K \partial^K \Phi = 0 \ , \tag{38}$$

(iv) to show the Higgs-like relations, define the local RG operator

$$\Delta_\sigma := \int d^3x \sigma(x) \left\{ 2h_{\mu\nu}(x) \frac{\delta}{\delta h_{\mu\nu}(x)} + \beta^I[\phi(x)] \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi^I(x)} + \rho^{aI}[\phi(x)] \boldsymbol{\nabla}_\mu \phi^I(x) \frac{\delta}{\delta A^a_\mu(x)} \right\} \ , \tag{39}$$

and by comparing coefficients of n-point functions, one obtains anomalous dimensions

$$\gamma^{IJ} = -\partial^J \beta^I + \rho^{aJ} (iT^a \phi)^I \ , \quad \gamma^a{}_b = \rho^{cI} \delta_{bc} (iT^a \phi)^I \ , \tag{40}$$

(v) and finally, the equivalence between vanishing vector beta function and conservation of the current operator can be proved by case analysis using the operator identity (C.3) as in [8].

The most general form of the trace anomaly is given by[3]

$$\Delta_\sigma \Gamma[h, \phi, A] \Big|_{\mathrm{anomaly}} = \int d^3x \sqrt{h} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}_{(3)} \sigma(x) \Big\{ C_{IJK} \boldsymbol{\nabla}_\mu \phi^I \boldsymbol{\nabla}_\nu \phi^J \boldsymbol{\nabla}_\rho \phi^K + C^a_I F^a_{\mu\nu} \boldsymbol{\nabla}_\rho \phi^I \Big\} \ . \tag{41}$$

[3]We have employed a slightly different notation from [10].

Comparing (41) and (D.1), the anomaly coefficients are identified:

$$C_{IJK} = \frac{1}{2\kappa_4^2}\Bigg\{ -2E\rho^{a[I}(iT^a)^{JK]} - 2\rho^{a[I}\partial^J E(\phi iT^a)^{K]} + \epsilon^{IJK}\beta^L\partial^L D \\ - 3D\rho^{a[I}\epsilon^{JK]L}(iT^a\phi)^L - 3\partial^{[I}D\epsilon^{JK]L}\beta^L \Bigg\} , \tag{42}$$

$$C_I^a = \frac{1}{2\kappa_4^2}\Bigg\{ -\Theta\rho^{aI} - \frac{k_{\rm CS}}{4\pi}C(r)\rho^{aI} + 2E(iT^a\beta)^I + \beta^K\partial^K E(iT^a\phi)^I \\ - E\rho^{bI}(\phi\{T^a,T^b\}\phi) + \partial^I E(\phi iT^a\beta) - 3D\epsilon^{IJK}(iT^a\phi)^J\beta^K \Bigg\} . \tag{43}$$

Using these expressios, one can show that they satisfy the WZ consistency conditions, i.e.,

$$3\beta^I C_{IJK} + \rho_J^a C_K^a - \rho_K^a C_J^a = 0 \ , \\ \beta^I C_I^a = 0 \ ,$$

by exploiting the orthogonality (38) and anti-symmetry of the generators T^a.

Conclusion In this paper we have discussed the trace anomaly in three dimensions. We expected we could get nonzero trace anomalies even on conformal fixed points if we break the parity symmetry, but we have eventually showed it is not the case. Now that we have finished the explicit computation, we can easily see why the anomaly vanishes in our situation. We know that the trace of the stress tensor has a weight $w = 3$ and the non-local action Γ has $w = 0$. Then, since coefficients of vevs of operators are identified with beta functions, the bracket (14) tells us that $\beta^I \propto \delta S_{\rm loc;0-3}/\delta\phi$ and $\beta_\mu \propto \delta S_{\rm loc;2-3}/\delta A$. With these knowledge, let us have a closer look at the bracket. The metric and scalar field part is a good place to start. Since functional derivatives with these fields cancel $w = -3$ from the volume element of the local action[4], just pairs of local Lagrangians whose weights sum up to three can contribute to $\langle T^\mu{}_\mu \rangle$. Because of the absence of a local Lagrangian with $w = 1$, there is no pair with weights $3 = 1 + 2$, and all we have is a pair $3 = 0 + 3$. The fact $\delta S_{\rm loc;3-3}/\delta h_{\mu\nu} = 0$ kills a potential contribution from the metric part of the pair, and the scalar field part gives the scalar beta function. Thus all contributions to $\langle T^\mu{}_\mu \rangle$ from the metric and scalar field part of the bracket is proportional to (scalar) beta functions. Next, let us scrutinize the gauge field part. Since functional derivatives with A_μ^a have weights $w = 2$, they do not completely cancel $w = -3$ from the volume element, and just pairs of local Lagrangians whose weights sum up to five can contribute to $\langle T^\mu{}_\mu \rangle$. Then just a pair $5 = 2 + 3$ can survive, however, the contribution is again proportional to the (vector) beta function. Therefore, all contributions are proportional

[4]Note that the weight analysis tells us that non-local action no longer contributes to $\langle T^\mu{}_\mu \rangle$.

to beta functions. The absence of local Lagrangians with $w = 1$ is essential. From the above argument we have learned that one needs a term with $w = 1$ which respects Lorentz and flavour symmetries in the local action in order to achieve nonzero trace anomalies on fixed points. Following the same analysis, one can also see that just with the simple extension of the bulk action, one cannot get nonzero trace anomalies in the general odd dimensions, neither, because of the absence of local Lagrangians with odd weights.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Tadakatsu Sakai for valuable discussions and useful comments on our draft.

A Notation

Let us denote $[\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}\hat{\sigma}]$ the sign of a permutation $(\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}\hat{\sigma})$, where we define $[012\tau] \equiv +1$[5]. Then the Levi-Civita tensor is defined by

$$\epsilon_{(4)}^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}\hat{\sigma}} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\hat{\gamma}|}}[\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}\hat{\sigma}] \ .$$

In this convention, we arrive at three-dimensional expression

$$\hat{N}\epsilon_{(4)}^{\mu\nu\rho\tau} = \epsilon_{(3)}^{\mu\nu\rho} \ . \tag{A.1}$$

Matrix representations are given by

$$(A_\mu)^{IJ} := -A_\mu^a (iT^a)^{IJ} \ , \quad (F_{\mu\nu})^{IJ} := -F_{\mu\nu}^a (iT^a)^{IJ} \ , \tag{A.2}$$

and covariant derivatives are defined by

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_{\hat{\mu}} \hat{\phi}^I := \hat{\nabla}_{\hat{\mu}} \hat{\phi}^I - \hat{A}_{\hat{\mu}}^a (iT^a \hat{\phi})^I \ , \tag{A.3}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\mu \phi^I := \nabla_\mu \phi^I - A_\mu^a (iT^a \phi)^I \ , \tag{A.4}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\mu \alpha^a := \nabla_\mu \alpha^a + f_{bc}^a A_\mu^b \alpha^c \ , \tag{A.5}$$

The generators T^a are normalized to yield the quadratic Casimir

$$\mathrm{tr}(T^a T^b) \equiv \delta^{ab} C(r) \tag{A.6}$$

for some representation r.

Finally, we define the Levi-Civita connection in the theory space as

$$\Gamma^{I;JK} := \frac{1}{2}(\partial^J M^{IK} + \partial^K M^{IJ} - \partial^I M^{JK}) \ . \tag{A.7}$$

[5]Lorentz indices of the bulk M_4 are denoted by $\hat{\mu}$,$\hat{\nu}$, ...and those of the hypersurface by μ,ν,....

B Some Useful Formulae

$$\frac{\delta S_{\text{loc;0-3}}}{\delta h_{\mu\nu}} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{h}h^{\mu\nu}W(\phi) \ ,$$

$$\frac{\delta S_{\text{loc;0-3}}}{\delta \phi^I} = \sqrt{h}\partial^I W(\phi) \ ,$$

$$\frac{\delta S_{\text{loc;0-3}}}{\delta A^a_\mu} = 0 \ ,$$

$$\frac{\delta S_{\text{loc;2-3}}}{\delta h_{\mu\nu}} = \sqrt{h}\Bigg\{ \Phi(\phi)\left(R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}h^{\mu\nu}R_{(3)}\right) - \boldsymbol{\nabla}^\mu\boldsymbol{\nabla}^\nu\Phi(\phi) + h^{\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\nabla}^2\Phi(\phi)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2}M^{IJ}(\phi)\left[\frac{1}{2}h^{\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\nabla}^\rho\phi^I\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\rho\phi^J - \boldsymbol{\nabla}^\mu\phi^I\boldsymbol{\nabla}^\nu\phi^J\right]\Bigg\} \ ,$$

$$\frac{\delta S_{\text{loc;2-3}}}{\delta \phi^I} = \sqrt{h}\Bigg\{ -\partial^I\Phi(\phi)R_{(3)} - \Gamma^{I;JK}(\phi)\boldsymbol{\nabla}^\mu\phi^J\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\mu\phi^K - M^{IJ}(\phi)\boldsymbol{\nabla}^2\phi^J\Bigg\} \ ,$$

$$\frac{\delta S_{\text{loc;2-3}}}{\delta A^a_\mu} = -\sqrt{h}M^{IJ}(\phi)\boldsymbol{\nabla}^\mu\phi^I(iT^a\phi)^J \ ,$$

$$\frac{\delta S_{\text{loc;3-3}}}{\delta h_{\mu\nu}} = 0 \ ,$$

$$\frac{\delta S_{\text{loc;3-3}}}{\delta \phi^I} = \sqrt{h}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}_{(3)}\Bigg\{ \partial^I D^{JKL}(\phi)\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\mu\phi^J\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\nu\phi^K\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\rho\phi^L - 3\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\mu D^{IJK}(\phi)\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\nu\phi^J\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\rho\phi^K$$
$$- 3D^{IJK}(\phi)\left(F_{\mu\nu}\phi\right)^J\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\rho\phi^K + \partial^I E^J(\phi)\Big(F_{\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\rho\phi\Big)^J - \boldsymbol{\nabla}_\rho E^J(\phi)(F_{\mu\nu})^{JI}\Bigg\} \ ,$$

$$\frac{\delta S_{\text{loc;3-3}}}{\delta A^a_\mu} = \sqrt{h}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}_{(3)}\Bigg\{ -3D^{IJK}(\phi)(iT^a\phi)^I\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\nu\phi^J\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\rho\phi^K - 2\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\nu\left[E^I(\phi)(iT^a\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\rho\phi)^I\right]$$
$$- E^I(\phi)\Big(F_{\nu\rho}iT^a\phi\Big)^I - \frac{k_{\text{CS}}}{4\pi}C(r)F^a_{\nu\rho}\Bigg\} \ .$$

C Consequences of first-class constraints

$$0 = (iT^a\phi)^I \partial^I W(\phi) \ , \tag{C.1}$$
$$0 = (iT^a\phi)^I \partial^I \Phi(\phi) \ , \tag{C.2}$$
$$0 = \boldsymbol{\nabla}_\mu J^{a\mu} - (iT^a\phi)^I O^I \ , \tag{C.3}$$
$$0 = \partial^I M^{JK}(\phi)(iT^a\phi)^I + (iT^a)^{IK} M^{JI} + (iT^a)^{IJ} M^{IK} \ , \tag{C.4}$$
$$\nabla_\mu W(\phi) = \boldsymbol{\nabla}_\mu \phi^I \partial^I W(\phi) \ , \tag{C.5}$$
$$0 = \nabla^\nu T_{\mu\nu} - \boldsymbol{\nabla}_\mu \phi^I O^I - F^a_{\mu\nu} J^{a\nu} \ , \tag{C.6}$$
$$0 = \nabla_\mu \Phi(\phi) - \boldsymbol{\nabla}_\mu \phi^I \partial^I \Phi(\phi) \ . \tag{C.7}$$

D Explicit form of $\langle T^\mu{}_\mu \rangle$

Substituting some formulae in Appendix B, one obtains an explicit form of the trace of the stress tensor:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle T^\mu{}_\mu \rangle =& - \beta^I \langle O^I \rangle - \beta^a_\mu \langle J^{a\mu} \rangle - \frac{\Theta}{2\kappa_4^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}_{(3)} \beta^a_\mu F^a_{\nu\rho} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2\kappa_4^2} \beta^I \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \frac{\delta S_{\mathrm{loc};3-3}}{\delta \phi^I} + \frac{1}{2\kappa_4^2} \beta^a_\mu \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \frac{\delta S_{\mathrm{loc};3-3}}{\delta A^a_\mu} \\
=& - \beta^I \langle O^I \rangle - \beta^a_\mu \langle J^{a\mu} \rangle \\
&+ \frac{1}{2\kappa_4^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}_{(3)} \boldsymbol{\nabla}_\mu \phi^I \boldsymbol{\nabla}_\nu \phi^J \boldsymbol{\nabla}_\rho \phi^K \Big\{ - 2E \rho^{aI} (iT^a)^{JK} - 2\rho^{aI} \partial^J E (\phi i T^a)^K + \epsilon^{IJK} \beta^L \partial^L D \\
&\qquad - 3D \rho^{aI} \epsilon^{JKL} (iT^a\phi)^L - 3\partial^I D \epsilon^{JKL} \beta^L \Big\} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2\kappa_4^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}_{(3)} F^a_{\mu\nu} \boldsymbol{\nabla}_\rho \phi^I \Big\{ - \Theta \rho^{aI} - \frac{k_{\mathrm{CS}}}{4\pi} C(r) \rho^{aI} + 2E (iT^a \beta)^I + \beta^K \partial^K E (iT^a \phi)^I \\
&\qquad - E \rho^{bI} (\phi \{T^a, T^b\} \phi) + \partial^I E (\phi i T^a \beta) - 3D \epsilon^{IJK} (iT^a \phi)^J \beta^K \Big\} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{D.1}$$

E Adding extra terms to the bulk action

If one adds a term

$$\frac{1}{4} \int_{M_4} d^4X \sqrt{\hat{\gamma}} \epsilon^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}\hat{\sigma}}_{(4)} H^{IJKL}(\hat{\phi}) \hat{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_{\hat{\mu}} \hat{\phi}^I \hat{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_{\hat{\nu}} \hat{\phi}^J \hat{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_{\hat{\rho}} \hat{\phi}^K \hat{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_{\hat{\sigma}} \hat{\phi}^L \tag{E.1}$$

to the bulk action, this term disturbs canonical momentum conjugate to the scalar field as

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\pi}'^{M} :=& \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}'_4}{\partial(\partial_\tau \hat{\phi}^M)} \\ =& \hat{\pi}^M + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}_{(3)} H^{IJKM} \hat{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_\mu \hat{\phi}^I \hat{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_\nu \hat{\phi}^J \hat{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_\rho \hat{\phi}^K, \end{aligned} \tag{E.2}$$

where '$\hat{\pi}^M$' is the same as (4). Following the same calculation as in the formalism, we can write the first-order action and arrive at first-class constraints. The new term does not change the momentum constraint and the Gauss's law constraint, however, it changes the Hamiltonian constraint

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}' :=& \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{h}}} \frac{\delta \boldsymbol{S}'}{\delta \hat{N}} \\ =& -\frac{1}{2} L^{IJ} \hat{\pi}'^I \hat{\pi}'^J + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}_{(3)} L^{IJ} \hat{\pi}'^I H^{JKLM} \hat{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_\mu \hat{\phi}^K \hat{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_\nu \hat{\phi}^L \hat{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_\rho \hat{\phi}^M \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}_{(3)} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_{(3)} L^{IJ} H^{IKLM} H^{JK'L'M'} \hat{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_\mu \hat{\phi}^K \hat{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_\nu \hat{\phi}^L \hat{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_\rho \hat{\phi}^M \hat{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_\alpha \hat{\phi}^{K'} \hat{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_\beta \hat{\phi}^{L'} \hat{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}_\gamma \hat{\phi}^{M'} + \dots , \end{aligned} \tag{E.3}$$

and these changes are accompanied by modifications of the flow equation. The third term does not contribute to the trace anomaly because the term has $w = 6$. The second term can give non-zero contribution to the $\langle T^\mu{}_\mu \rangle$, however, since the covariant derivatives already have $w = 3$, the term can enter the trace of the stress tensor only when $\hat{\pi}'^I$ gives a term with $w = 0$ through the Hamilton-Jacobi equation. It is possible only if the functional derivative $\delta/\delta\phi^I$ acts on $S_{\mathrm{loc};0-3}$, and this is nothing but β^I. Thus the additional term (E.1) does not give non-trivial contribution to the trace anomaly, neither.

References

[1] S. Deser and A. Schwimmer, "Geometric classification of conformal anomalies in arbitrary dimensions," Phys. Lett. B **309**, 279 (1993) doi:10.1016/0370-2693(93)90934-A [hep-th/9302047].

[2] A. B. Zamolodchikov, "Irreversibility of the Flux of the Renormalization Group in a 2D Field Theory," JETP Lett. **43**, 730 (1986) [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **43**, 565 (1986)].

[3] Z. Komargodski and A. Schwimmer, "On Renormalization Group Flows in Four Dimensions," JHEP **1112**, 099 (2011) doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2011)099 [arXiv:1107.3987 [hep-th]].

[4] Z. Komargodski, "The Constraints of Conformal Symmetry on RG Flows," JHEP **1207**, 069 (2012) doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2012)069 [arXiv:1112.4538 [hep-th]].

[5] D. L. Jafferis, I. R. Klebanov, S. S. Pufu and B. R. Safdi, "Towards the F-Theorem: N=2 Field Theories on the Three-Sphere," JHEP **1106**, 102 (2011) doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2011)102 [arXiv:1103.1181 [hep-th]].

[6] J. de Boer, E. P. Verlinde and H. L. Verlinde, "On the holographic renormalization group," JHEP **0008**, 003 (2000) [hep-th/9912012].

[7] M. Fukuma, S. Matsuura and T. Sakai, "A Note on the Weyl anomaly in the holographic renormalization group," Prog. Theor. Phys. **104**, 1089 (2000) [hep-th/0007062].

[8] K. Kikuchi and T. Sakai, "AdS/CFT and local renormalization group with gauge fields," PTEP **2016**, no. 3, 033B02 (2016) doi:10.1093/ptep/ptw010 [arXiv:1511.00403 [hep-th]].

[9] S. Rajagopal, A. Stergiou and Y. Zhu, "Holographic Trace Anomaly and Local Renormalization Group," JHEP **1511**, 216 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2015)216 [arXiv:1508.01210 [hep-th]].

[10] Y. Nakayama, "Consistency of local renormalization group in d=3," Nucl. Phys. B **879**, 37 (2014) [arXiv:1307.8048 [hep-th]].

[11] A. Bzowski, P. McFadden and K. Skenderis, "Scalar 3-point functions in CFT: renormalisation, beta functions and anomalies," JHEP **1603**, 066 (2016) doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2016)066 [arXiv:1510.08442 [hep-th]].

[12] Y. Nakayama, "Vector Beta function," Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **28**, 1350166 (2013) doi:10.1142/S0217751X13501662 [arXiv:1310.0574 [hep-th]].